

Shri Harwinder Singh, S/o Shri Bhagwan Singh,  
R/o Village Mullowal,  
Tehsil Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur.

--Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director,  
Rural Dev. & Panchayats Deptt., PB.,  
Sector 62, Phase-8, Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority:**

O/o Principal Secretary,  
Rural Dev. & Panchayats Deptt., PB.,  
Sector 62, Phase-8, Mohali.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 4614 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Harwinder Singh, appellant is absent.  
Ms. Jasbir Kaur, Sr.Asstt. is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Director, Rural  
Dev. & Panchayats Deptt., PB., Sector 62, Phase-8, Mohali.

**O R D E R**

Shri Harwinder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 24.05.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Director, Rural Dev. & Panchayats Deptt., PB., Sector 62, Phase-8, Mohali. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 26.07.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 11.10.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO. The respondent stated that the appellant sought information regarding his complaint dated 06.07.2020 and regarding this the report received from the Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sangrur has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no. 8256 dated 24.11.2021 and copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 8256 dated 24.11.2021. I have gone through the said letter vide which the report received from the Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sangrur has been sent to the appellant. It is further submitted in the letter by the SPIO that letter dated 09.11.2021 has been written to the BDPO, Sherpur, Distt. Sangrur for removal of unauthorized occupation of land and to send report, but still the report is awaited.

As the appellant has sought information regarding his complaint dated 06.07.2020 and the SPIO has sent him the present status report vide letter no.8256 dated 24.11.2020, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 30.11.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



Shri Ajit Singh Talwandi,  
Village Nikku Chak,  
PO Hajipur, The Mukerian,  
Distt. Hoshiarpur.

--Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer,  
Hoshiarpur.

**First Appellate Authority:**  
O/o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer,  
Hoshiarpur.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2762 of 2021**

**Present:-** Shri Ajit Singh Talwandi, appellant is absent.  
Shri Ajit Singh, Patwari is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Hoshiarpur.

**ORDER**

Shri Ajit Singh Talwandi, appellant filed RTI application dated 29.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Hoshiarpur.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX SOFTWARE.**

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the appellant sought information regarding his application dated 05.02.2021. He further stated that regarding this application the report has been obtained from the BDPO, Hajipur and has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.3963 dated 28.10.2021 and again sent through registered post on 30.11.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.3963 dated 28.10.2021 along with photocopies of postal receipts dated 01.11.2021 and 30.11.2021, copy of letter no.4287 dated 29.11.2021, copy of Statement of Shri Rafiq Singh, Sarpanch, copy of letter no.412 dated 19.05.2021 and a copy of letter no.4290 dated 29.11.2021.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the information sent by the SPIO. The appellant has sought information regarding his application dated 05.02.2021 and the SPIO has sent him the required information. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Dated: 30.11.2021**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



Shri Jeewan Singh, S/o Shri Gurjant Singh,  
R/o Village Kehar Singh Wali (Longowal),  
Tehsil & Distt. Sangrur.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Asstt. Registrar,  
Co-opt. Societies, Barnala.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Joint Registrar,  
Co-op. Societies, Patiala.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3064 of 2020**

Present:- Shri Jeewan Singh, appellant is absent.  
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o A.R., Co-op. Societies, Barnala.

**O R D E R**

Shri Jeewan Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 14.07.2020 before the SPIO, O/o Deputy Registrar, Co-op. Societies, Barnala. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Registrar, Co-op. Societies, Barnala vide letter no. 695 dated 28.07.2020.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are absent today.

The appellant has sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that the SPIO has not provided him the complete information and nor he has sent the amount of compensation of Rs.5,000/-.

The SPIO has also sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.3499 dated 25.11.2021 along with a photocopy of demand draft dated 25.11.2021 amounting to Rs.5,000/- in the name of Shri Jeewan Singh, appellant and a copy of letter no.3422 dated 18.11.2021.

I have gone through letter no.3499 dated 25.11.2021 vide which the SPIO has sent the demand draft of Rs.5,000/- to the appellant as compensation. I have also gone through letter no.3422 dated 18.11.2021 vide which the information was sent to the appellant. The SPIO submitted in the letter that the information which was available in the office of the public authority has been sent to the appellant and except that no other information is available in the office of the public authority.

As the SPIO has provided the information to the appellant which was available in the office of the public authority and except that no other information is available in the office of the public authority and now the respondent has also sent the amount of compensation of Rs.5,000/- to the appellant. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**( Avtar Singh Kaler )**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**Dated: 30.11.2021**

**(Regd.) CC: Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Punjab, Chandigarh. - for information.**



Shri Satish Kumar Bhiri, (M: 94176-12036),  
Ward No.10, Near Nehru Park,  
Jaiton, Distt. Faridkot.

.....Appellant.

**Vs**

**(Regd.)** Public Information Officer,  
O/o District Manager, PUNSUP,  
Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority:  
O/o District Manager, PUNSUP,  
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2833 of 2020**

**Present:-** Shri Satish Kumar Bhiri, appellant is absent.  
None present on behalf of Shri Manav Jindal, SPIO-cum-District Manager,  
PUNSUP, Faridkot.

**O R D E R**

Shri Satish Kumar Bhiri, appellant filed RTI application dated 11.10.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o District Manager, PUNSUP, Faridkot.

**Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the Order dated 24.11.2021 and on that date both the parties were present. The respondent SPIO stated that the sought for information has been provided to the appellant by hand on 23.11.2021. The appellant also stated that he has received the information. But as the SPIO has not filed reply to the Show Cause Notice, so he was directed to send reply to the Show Cause Notice.

Today, though the SPIO is absent, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a written reply to the Show Cause Notice.

I have gone through the written reply to the Show Cause Notice sent by the SPIO-cum-District Manager, PUNSUP, Faridkot and it is submitted by him that he is incharge of two district offices i.e. Faridkot and Sri Muktsar Sahib and due to heavy work in the seasonal period the sought for information was not provided to the appellant within time. The SPIO further submitted that the delay was not intentional and requested to drop the Show Cause Notice.

So, as per the reply submitted by the SPIO it is clear that he is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the appellant. Hence the Show Cause Notice issued to the SPIO is hereby dropped and filed.

As the information in the present case has been provided to the appellant by the SPIO, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.